Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/745,435	SEKITANI, HIROYUKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tony Mahmoudi	2165
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) John P. Kong (Attorney of Record).	(3)	
(2) <u>Tony Mahmoudi</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 January 2005.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>None</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: None.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In a telephone interview, the Attorney of Record verified receipt of the Office Action mailed on 05-April-2004 and confirmed that there had been no reply to the Office Action. Therefore, this application is considered abandoned by the applicant for failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office Action mailed on 05-April-2004. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Evaminer Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Tarl	

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required